

## **Pupil premium strategy statement**

1. Summary information						
School Grewelthorpe CE Primary School						
Academic Year	2017-2018	Total PP budget	£6,600	Date of most recent PP Review	N/A	
Total number of pupils	73 in the school	Number of pupils eligible for PP	5	Date for next internal review of this strategy	April 2018	

2. Current attainment		
KS2 % achieving expected standard 2016-2017:	Pupils eligible for PP -0 pupils	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average 2016/17, interim)
% achieving expected standard or above in reading		71%
% achieving expected standard or above in writing		76%
% achieving expected standard or above in maths		75%
KS1 % achieving expected standard 2016-2017:	Pupils eligible for PP -2 pupils	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average 2016/17)
Reading	100%	74%
Writing	100%	65%
Maths	50%	73%

3. In-schoo	l barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral langu	age skills)
A.	Weaknesses in learning behaviours, e.g. lack of independence	ze or resilience.
		CEN.
В.	Specific additional needs including those being supported as	SEN.
С	Social, emotional and behavioural problems affecting wellbe	ing and progress.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Extern	al barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as	low attendance rates)
D.		
5. Desi	red outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria
A.	Most children to have made expected or better than	All pupil premium children, whatever their prior attainment, make at least expected progress, with
	expected progress in reading, writing and maths by the end	some of those whose attainment is below age -related expectations starting to catch up.
	of the academic year.	Improvements in the learning behaviours demonstrated by targeted pupil premium children are
		evident through pupil interviews and reports from class teachers.
В.	Additional needs are supported effectively.	Children with additional needs are supported effectively through the school's SEND practice, with recognition of and support for any additional factors that PP children face.
		recognition of and support for any additional factors that it children face.
C.	Good progress in PSED.	Improvements in the overcoming barriers for specific children including reduced incidence of
	. 5	behavioural problems, increased participation in class, reduction in friendship/ social issues, increased
		social integration

## 6. Planned expenditure

Academic year 2017-2018

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies

## i. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
А	CPD – whole staff on	The NFER Report 2015 (Supporting the	Ongoing monitoring	Head/	Monitoring of progress will be
Good progress	maths for mastery.	Attainment of Disadvantaged Pupils)	programme by subject	SLT/-SENCO	half termly with diagnostic
		indicates that high quality teaching for all is	leaders, head, assistant		tests for maths, grammar and
	Training for TAs on	fundamental to supporting the attainment of	head, SENCO and		reading to be undertaken every
	writing and grammar,	disadvantaged pupils.	governors.		term. Impact will be reported
	phonics and spelling	Need to raise expectations for all PP pupils			in the summer.
	Effective intervention.	including of the progress possible.			
		Whole school training ensures consistency of approaches.			
	Programme of peer planning, observations and visits to other schools.	Training and observation of good practice enables staff to see how new approaches can be implemented.			

	T		T		<u> </u>
В	Use of data and	Children not making enough progress in year	Ongoing monitoring	Head/	Monitoring of progress will be
All children are working at an	assessments to identify	see tracking system which impacts on KS2	programme by subject	SLT/-SENCO	half termly with diagnostic
appropriate stage for their	pupils slipping behind	progress data.	leaders, head, assistant	Governors	tests for maths, grammar and
age and are achieving at	and the		head, SENDCO and		reading to be undertaken every
least expected outcomes.	implementation of		governors.		term.
	intervention/support.				
	Purchase of Hodder				
	Education Spelling,				
	Grammar and				
	Punctuation				
	assessment y1-6				
	<u> </u>				£480 courses/TD
					£300 resources
					£750 costs associated with
					peer observations/visits
			Tota	I budgeted cost	
			1000	ii baagetea cost	21330
1					

Targeted support						
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?	
A/B Good progress	intervention groups with teacher / TA aimed at boosting progress.	We want to close the gaps emerging in aspects of literacy/ and maths. The EEF toolkit states that evidence indicates that one to one tuition can be effective, on average accelerating learning by approximately five additional months' progress. The quality of teaching in one to one tuition or small groups is more important than the group size, emphasising the value of professional development for teachers  Continue to address under-attainment and boost progress. Intervention will be time limited, more focused and more tightly tracked.	Monitoring cycle including observations and pupil voice.	Intervention data collected at the start and conclusion of any specific targeted work.MG/LC to carry out interventions	Intervention data collected at the start and conclusion of any specific targeted work. Termly review based on Hodder standardised scores.	

c. Additional needs are	Support and	We believe that raising self- esteem	and	Monitoring cycle, HT,	HT/SLT/Repo	Monitoring
supported effectively and	monitoring by SENCO/	developing social skills will impact or	n	regular discussions	rts to	_
good progress in PSED.	PP lead Support from	academic achievement.		shared information,	governors	
	professionals			assessment data in core		
				subjects and PSED.		
	Interventions targeted			,		
	at developing social					
	and emotional skills					
	such as lego therapy,					
	play therapy, drawing					
	and talking therapy.					
ii. Other approaches				Tota	al budgeted cost	£ 140 TA social/emotional interventions 14 sessions £5075
Desired outcome	Chosen action /	What is the evidence and rationale	How will y	ou ensure it is	Staff lead	When will you review
Desired outcome	approach	for this choice?	implemen		Stall lead	implementation?
				Tota	al budgeted cost	
7. Review of expenditure						
Previous Academic Year		2016-2017				

7. Review of expenditure						
Previous Academic Year		2016-2017				
i. Quality of teaching for all	i. Quality of teaching for all					
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost		

Improved self -regulation	Growth mindset	86 % achieved the GLD. The average total point	Quality CPD for all staff including support staff has	
kills and behaviour for	training	score was 35.3 above the National figure.	shown to have a positive impact on pupils'	
earning	programme for staff ad pupils	100% achieved the expected standard in phonics.	achievement.	
		100% achieved the expected standard in Y2, KS1 in reading and writing and 86% in maths above the National figures.		
		100% achieved the expected standard in maths at Y6 KS2, 80% in reading and 100 % in writing above the National figures.		
		Progress scores for reading and writing is +3.6 and +4.4 for maths.		
To close the emerging gap in iteracy	CPD Reading and strategies for attaining greater depth	100% achieved the expected standard in phonics.  100% achieved the expected standard in reading at KS1, 57% achieved greater depth	Staff and pupils have a good understanding of the expectations for greater depth across the school.  Assessment includes objectives for greater depth at each age group.	
		80% achieved the expected standard in reading at KS2, 50% achieved greater depth		
To improve maths teaching for all	Trial of Maths No Problem years 1,2,3,4	86% achieved the expected standard in maths at KS1. 29% achieved greater depth, 100% achieved the expected standard in maths at Y6 progress score in the top 10% Nationally	The pedagogy of staff has improved, staff have a deeper understanding of mathematical concepts and how to impart this to the children they teach.	
	ı			

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
To accelerate progress in reading	The training and implementation of the Better Reading programme including resources.  Phonics intervention	100% achieved the expected standard in reading at KS1 and 80% in KS2. Intervention data indicates gains in reading ages for those children who undertook the programme.	The Better Reading programme was successful with children making significant gains in their reading age.	
To improve self -regulation skills and behaviour for learning.	The employment of an additional teacher 1.5 days per week to carry out small group tutoring.	The progress measure from KS1-KS2 for reading and writing was 3.6.  The progress measure from KS1-KS2 for maths was 4.4.	Small group targeted intervention was effective.	
To accelerate maths progress and understanding	Intervention programme including resources.	86% of pupils at KS1 achieved the new expected standard.  100% of pupils at KS2 achieved the expected standard.  The progress measure from KS1-KS2 for maths	Maths interventions and training for staff were successful results can be seen in the pupil progress.	
cost			Total	£3690
iii. Other approaches				

Desired outcome	Chosen action /	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success	Lessons learned	Cost
	approach	criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible	(and whether you will continue with this approach)	
		for PP, if appropriate.		